Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/745,230	TSERKOVNYUK ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nhon T Diep	2613	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Nhon T Diep.	(3)		
(2) Ralph Crispino.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 02/05/2004			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-14</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>None</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner called to request the attorney to select an invention for examination since there are two distinct inventions as claimed in claims 1-14. The attorney selected claims 13-14 without traverse. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
Evaminer Note: You must sign this form unloss it is an		ON DIEP BY EXAMINER	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		nature, if required	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)